

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,488	10/049,488 07/22/2002		Thomas Richard Kerby Edwards	71745/56925	4085
21874	7590	08/15/2006		EXAMINER	
EDWARDS		LL, LLP	LUDLOW, JAN M		
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1743	
				DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/049,488	EDWARDS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jan M. Ludlow	1743		

	Jan M. Ludlow	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 8 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejection	chever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount	36(a) and the appropriatof the fee. The appropri	te extension fee ate extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing da	te of the final rejection, e	even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>11 July 2006</u>. A brief ir date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betometer.	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1.1)	corresponding number of finally rej 16 and 41.33(a)).	ected claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi rided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-19 and 21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but It is directed to the unentered amendments. 			ce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N		
ones. See attached interview summary.	<	Jan M. Ludlow	W
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation "wherein the central plunger can slide in the barrell..." is a new issue.